E COPY

FILED
FEB 25 1961

JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1961

No. 100 6

CHARLES W. BAKER, Et Al.,

Appellants,

persas

JOE C. CARR, Et Al.,

Appellees.

Brief of the City of St. Matthews, Kentucky, By Bernard F. Bowling, Amicus Curiae.

> W. SCOTT MILLER, JR., 408 Louisville Trust Building, Louisville 2, Kentucky,

D. H. ROBINSON,

Kentucky Home Life Building,
Louisville 2, Kentucky,

GEORGE J. LONG, Marion E. Taylor Building,

Louisville 2, Kentucky, Counsel for City of St. Matthews.

INDEX.

	LOT.
CITATION TO OFFICIAL REPORT OF OPINIONS RENDERED IN THE COURT BELOW	1
GROUNDS FOR THE COURT'S JURISDICTION.	
GROUNDS FOR THE COURTS JURISDICTION	2
STATEMENT OF APPLICANT'S INTEREST 2	- 3
ARGUMENT	-14
The Failure of the General Assembly of Kentucky to Re-Apportion the Legislative and Senatorial Districts of the Commonwealth Pursuant to the Requirements of the Kentucky Censtitution Is Violative of the Hinth Amendment of the Con- stitution of the United States	-14
A. The Inequality Between Legislative and Senatorial Districts Within the Large Metropolitan City of Louisville and Those in the Suburban Area Outside It and Also in Jefferson County Is More Glaring Than the Contrast Between Metropolitan Jefferson County and Rural Counties.	- 6
B. There Is a Flagrant Inequality Between the Population in Metrepolitan Legislative and Senatorial Districts and That of the Bural Districts	- 8
C. The Facts Set Out Above Clearly Show That the Rights of the Citizens of Kentucky Have Been Denied and Disparaged in Violation of the Ninth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States by Failure of the General Assembly to Act.	-14
CONCLUSION	14
APPENDIX	-29

AUTHORITIES CITED.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1980 Ro. 108

CHARLES W. BAKER, ET AL., Appellants,

v.

Joe C. Carb, Et Al., Appellees.

Mayor City of St. Matthews, Kentucky
Amicus Curiae.

To the Honorable, The Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Comes Bernard F. Bowling, Mayor of the City of St. Matthews, Kentucky and respectfully files herewith a brief as Amicus Curiae in the above styled action.

OPINION BELOW.

The opinion of the District Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee is reported in Charles W. Baker, et al v. Joe C. Carr, et al., 175 F. Supp. 649 and in 179 F. Supp. 824.

JURISDIOTION.

The City of St. Matthews, Kentucky, is a duly constituted political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Kentucky and Bernard F. Bowling, is the duly elected Mayor of said City and its authorized law officer and he files this brief as Amicus Curiae pursuant to Rule 42 of the Revised Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States.

1

STATEMENT OF APPLICANT'S INTEREST.

The City of St. Matthews, Kentucky, is located in a densely populated area adjacent to the City of Louisville, Kentucky and is located within the 34th Legislative District and within the 36th Senatorial District of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

This area is inadequately represented in both the Monge of Representatives and the Senate of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The inequality in representation is so glaring and flagrant that it precludes the possibility that there has been any attempt on the part of the Legislature of Kentucky to apportion the Commonwealth into representative districts as nearly equal in population as required by the Constitution of Kentucky.

The applicant has reason to believe that the situation in Kentucky is basically analogous and similar to that of its sister state of Tennessee but believes that the particular problem of under-representation in suburban areas adjacent to large cities will not be adequately brought to the attention of this Court.

Surburban areas are in many cases more underrepresented than are the large cities themselves.

This inadequacy while violative of the due process clause, i.e., the 14th amendment, more particularly violates the 9th amendment to the Constitution of the United States which states: "The Enumeration in the constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people" by denying the citizens of certain areas their inalienable rights or so disparaging these rights as to amount to a disenfranchisement of these rights.

It is believed that the impact of the 9th amendment on the questions presented to this Court in this action, is of such importance that the applicant respectfully submits this brief as Amicus Curiae in an effort to bring all facets of the law to the attention of this Court.

ARGUMENT.

come of loss live

The Mailure of the General Assembly of Kentucky to Re-Apportion the Legislative and Senatorial Districts of the Communicatile Pursuant to the Requirements of the Kentucky Constitution is Violative of the Minth Assembly of the Constitution of the United States.

A THE RESIDENT AND THE LABOR METEOPOLITAN OFF OF LOUSSIES AND AND THE THE LABOR METEOPOLITAN OFF OF LOUSSIES AND ALSO IN THE THE SUBSCRIPT IS MORE GLANING THAN THE THE OFFICE METEOPOLITAN PRIVIOUS DOUBLE AND ADDRESS OF THE TEN ORIGINAL SUPPLIES.

In Kentucky the General Assembly, i.e., the Legislature is composed of one hundred House Seats and thirty-eight Senate seats. The Kentucky Constitution requires that the State shall be reapportioned every ten years. (App. p. 23).

Re-apportionment was last carried out in 1942. No re-apportionment was done in 1952 as required by said constitutional provision. Since 1942, there have been tremendous and highly significant shifts of population within Kentucky and since there has been no re-apportionment, the result is, at present, gross inequality in representation.

The population of Kentucky, according to the 1960 census is 3,038,156 and the population of Jefferson County is 610,000.

The areas that have been most affected by population shifts are those immediately outside of large cities such as Louisville, i.e., suburbia and rural Jefferson County. The City of St. Matthews is located in such an area. The citizens in these areas generally

have little voice in the legislative processes as compared with their fellow citizens within the large cities and the citizens of Jefferson County outside of Louisville are no exception.

In Jefferson County, Kentucky, according to the 1960 census, there is a population of 610.947. In Jefferson County there is a total of eleven House seats. Nine of these House seats are within the city limits of Louisville as of 1942 and the remaining two are for the remainder of the County, although part of the area has been annexed by the City of Louisville since 1942. The City of Louisville has a population of 390,639, which is represented by nine House seats. The balance of the people outside of the City of Louisville and the parts that have been annexed since 1942 constitute an estimated 300,000 people. Thus, when you analyze the representation within the city limits, the population of the city is not too greatly under-represented, but the area within the County outside the City is greatly under-represented and is more so than any other area in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

There are five Senatorial Districts in Jefferson County, four are entirely within the city boundaries while the one remaining District covers the remaining area of the County and the parts that have been annexed since 1942, populated by an estimated 300,000 persons. Thus, the Senator from the 36th District, who represents the suburban and rural areas, represents over three times the number represented by his city brother.

The City of Louisville in the case of both House and Senate Districts is not under-represented, meterially. It is the rural and enhancin over that outliers. This is further made evident by anaparing the population of the district of the Senator from the 30th District, i.e., 200,000 to that of the rural 20th Senatorial District in Kontucky, which is only 44,000. The people in substitute and rural Jefferson County have only 1/6th of the voting representation of those in the 34th Senatorial District.

3. STATES TO A PLANSAGE SERVICE SERVICE STATE POPULATION AND REPORT SERVICES AND RESERVOIS.

JOHN SON AND TRACE OF THE PERSON ASSESSMENT.

Let un assepare the County of Jefferson, in which both the City of Louisville and the City of St. Matthews in, with rural counties in respect to population and ingulative and Senaturial representation.

If each House sent was evenly proportioned, the population in each House district would be 20,282. The 26th and 36th Legislative Districts, which comprises the 36th Senatorial District, all lie in suburban and rural Jefferson County, conside the city limits of Louiswille, representing an estimated 200,000 persons. In contrast, the 29th Legislative District, which is Todd County, has a population of only 11,254 (App. p. 16). The 75th Legislative District, composed of Maryan and Welfe Counties, has a population of 17,500. The second Legislative District, Ballard and Carliale Counties, has a population of 12,600 (App. p. 15). The 71st Legislative District, Lewis County, has a population of 13,115. The 25th Legislative District, which is com-

posed of Hardin County, in 1960 had a population of 20,308. It now has a population of 67,760, and only one Legislative seat, while Beckenstle County, the 79th Legislative District, has a population of only 12,334 (App. p. 21).

Following the comparative Agures of even propertionment compared with the number represented by the 24th and 25th Districts, these districts are populated by five times as many people as the norm would indicate, or a person in this area would have only 1/8th the voting power of a person in another part of the state, whereas when compared with the other extreme, that of Told County, there is a ratio of about twelve to one, or about 1/12th of the voting strength.

This situation is not only true when comparing Jeffusion County with other counties, but is and will continue to be, true in other suburban areas. It is not enough to allot seats to counties without requiring such representation to be equally spread within the county itself.

The situation in the Senate is not too different from that in the House. The 34th Senatorial District, which is composed of Breathitt, Lee, Magolin and Morgan counties, has a population of 44,800 people, whereas the 36th District in suburban Jefferson County, represents an estimated 300,000 people, or the people in Jefferson County have 1/6th the voting strength that the people of the 34th Senatorial District have. If each Senate seat were broken up exactly oven, which we do not propose as practical or possible, each member would represent 79,951 persons, so the Senator from

the 34th District represents a little over half of this normand the Senstor from the 36th District represents three and one half times the norm.

It is the Applicant's contention that by these tremendous shifts in population caused by an explosive expansion of the exharts, it is the exharts that are truly under egy recented and that this under expresentation, together with garayacabileting, caused such areas to be dealed their constitutional rigids under the Ninth annualment to the Constitution of the United States.

The evidence is clear that the vise of the Metropolitan County Districts are Regrantly unequal with those of the rural districts. Can there be any contention that this is not only greatly unfair but unconstitutional.

O. THE PART OF SUP ABOVE GRANET MOVE THAT THE STREET OF THE OWNERS OF THE PART AND DESIGN AND DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY AND DE SURE TO THE OWNERS OF THE THIRD STATES OF PARTY OF THE OWNERS AND DESIGNATION OF

The Ninth Amendment sets forth our basic concept of individual liberties of the individual. While the argument has been made that there is no specific guarantee of the equality of voting strength, we believe this right was guaranteed to the people, although not specifically enumerated.

The government of the United States was conceived solely as a government of delegated powers and nothing more. The government was granted these rights but the people retained all of the individual and personal liberties that were considered a part of the results law.

These rights have a spirit of expression in the Declaration of Independence; rights that are self-evident in a government of free and equal men; a new government that was to flower and bloom as the rights of the free men flourished.

There are certain basic freedoms which are not contained in any written document and to have and retain these rights, the individual must have the freedom to express his beliefs, and he cannot do this when this right is denied or disparaged by inequality in the means of man's expression, or lack of ability to express.

These human rights cannot any longer be allowed to be destroyed by government, either State or Federal. Therefore, we cannot, by a narrow interpretation of other rights enumerated allow the denial of other basic rights to be denied, because the people only delegate certain rights to the government. This principle is well described in 16 C.J.S., P. 578, P. 199:

"The Constitutions are not the sources of our personal lights. Our theory of government is that the people, in full possession of inherent, inalienable rights, have formed the government in order to protect these rights, and have incorporated them into the organic law as a shield against unwarrantable interference by any department of government."

and President John Adams proclaimed:

"You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe." This Honorable Court has held in Savings and Loan Association w. Topoka, 87 U. S. 696, 22 L. Ed. 455, that we have costain inherent rights which are entitled to protection against the legislative act of the several states even though these rights are uncounterated in the Constitution and are an implied reservation of individual nights. This is the spirit, the doctrine of the Ninth Association. We contain that a citizen's right to qual representation and to have his vote count equally with souther cannot be denied or abridged by the failure of a legislative body to carry out a duty conferred by a state constitution.

These inequalities cited herein are so glaring that they proclade the possibility that there has been any attempt to grant equal representation in Kentucky. This, on its face, shows the equality of man's rights has been denied and disparaged.

It is our belief that the philosophy of the Ninth Amendment is not to be narrowly construed, but is to be used like the Magna Carta; as a part of a living and growing Constitution.

The natural rights of the citizens of this country are not fixed as of the date we adopted the Constitution. For the Court to hold that this right of reapportionment and equal representation is an unenumerated right and, therefore, does not exist, is to expose the citizens to a type of tyranny the Constitution was adopted to protect us against. One of the basic rights, the right to vote, is, in effect, denied persons who are voting because their vote does not register equally with those of others. These rights are part of our

natural endowment and are fixed in natural law as to be at inherent right. This argument is well summed up by Bennett B. Patterson in his book, "The Forgotten Ninth Amendment" on Page 57:

"The ever present danger in a democracy is the power of the majority to over-emphasise the importance of our collective rights and permit our individual selves to become captive of our public selves."

The Ninth Amendment in the Constitution is the counterpart of the "General Welfare" clause. It protects the individual in the same manner that the General Welfare clause protects the public. Therefore, these individual rights cannot be protected by merely granting additional seats by reapportioning seats to various cities or counties. It must be done on an equitable basis. It is hoped that the Court will enter an order broad enough to prohibit the sinister type of gerrymandering which, while not directly before this Court, is equally a part of the matter directly before this body and which is equally as repugnant to our Constitution. We must remember that individual liberty is one of the corner stones of this country and if the individual is not free to have these liberties counted evenly and thus have the right to protect his rights, beliefs and wishes, then his rights have truly been disparaged and denied to him.

The matter of personal rights has been well outlined in Mr. Patterson's book on Page 59: "Not only is government the servant of the people, but it is an untrustworthy and unreliable servant. It cannot be given a free hand in caring for the affairs of its master; on the centrary, it must be limited in many ways; it must be checked at every possible point; it must be at all times under suspicion. Otherwise, it will cease to be servant and take the place of master. Too much emphasis cannot well be laid upon the fear which the "Fathers" had of government. To them the great lesson of history was, that government always tends to become oppressive, and that it is the greatest foe of individual liberty."

The argument has been made that the right to vote is not derived from the Federal government but from the states. It is extremely illogical to argue that we are granted liberties by our Federal government and these same liberties are not protected from the state governments when each of these states has ratified the Constitution.

Thus, these rights that are granted as inherent in the people cannot be taken away by the operation or lack of operation of the states. The argument has, in the pasi, been held to be invalid; that the Courts will not interfere with a right that is merely political; but these rights, when they are denied by political machinery, are within the purview of the great equity power granted to our courts that for every wrong there is a remedy.

Our Kentucky Court has held in Ragland v. Anderson, 125 Ky. 141, 100 S. W. 865:

act of the General Assembly by the Constitution.

Thus, when their acts have been held to be a violation of our basic rights, so should their failure to act be declared a violation of our basic right. More particularly, when there is a duty to protect these rights in the Constitution (on the part of the General Assembly, they should be ordered corrected forthwith with all deliberate speed.

In the Ragland case, supra, the Court held a Kentucky reapportionment act invalid where the situation was such that the voters in one county exercised more influence than the voters in another district. If it can be held that the court may set aside as invalid an act creating this inequality then the court has the power to declare a failure to act actionable.

"Equality of representation is a vital principle of democracy. In proportion as this is denied or withheld, the government becomes oligarchical or monarchical. Without equality Republican institutions are impossible. Inequality of representation is a tyranny to which no people worthy of freedom will tamely submit. To say that a man in Spencer county shall have seven times as much influence in the government of the State as a man in Ohio, Butler, or Edmonson, is to say that six men out of every seven in those counties are not represented in the government at all."

Our system of government provides that the government acquires its rights from the consent of the governed and if the people governed cannot give their consent freely, then they are denied the means of true equality, to elect those to represent their wishes.

CONCLUSION.

The factual situation in Kentucky has been presented to this Honorable Court because of its analogy to the situation in the Tennessee case presently before this Court. The facts in Kentucky have been set out in detail in order to point out that the situation is not restricted to Tennessee and that the opinion of this Court should be far reaching enough to remedy the situation, not only in Tennessee but in all the states of this great country.

Inequality of voting right is as much a violation of the Constitution as denial of voting rights to our citisenry.

Respectfully submitted,

W. SCOTT MILLER, JR., 405 Louisville Treet Building, Lenisville 2, Kentreky,

D. H. ROBINSON, Kentucky Home Life Building, Louisville 3, Kentucky,

GEORGE J. LONG,
Marion E. Taylor Building,
Louisville 2, Kentucky,
Counsel for City of
St. Matthews.

LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS.

	Populat	ion	
Counties 1930	1940	1950	1960
Falton14927	15418	13688	11256
Hickman 8725			
LS 23652	24555	21466	19003
Ballard 9910	9480	8545	8291
Carlisle 7363		6206	5608
17273	17130	14751	13899
Graves30778	31763	31364	30021
loCracken46271	48534	49137	57306
loCracken46271	48534	49137	57306
Lyon 8530	9067	6853	5924
Marshall12889	16602	13387	16736
21419	25869	20240	22660
Calloway17662	19041	20147	20972
Caldwell13781	14499	13199	13073
Trigg12531	12784	9683	8870
26312	27283	22862	21943
Christian 34285	3 26129	42359	56904
Hopkins37449	37789	38815	38458
rittenden11931			
ivingston 8600			
	IcCracken 46271 Lyon 8530 Marshall 12889 21419 Calloway 17662 Trigg 12531 26312 Christian 34289 Hopkins 37449	IcCracken 46271 48534 IcCracken 46271 48534 Lyon 8530 9067 Marchall 12889 16602 21419 25669 Calloway 17662 19041 Caldwell 13781 14499 Trigg 12531 12784 26312 27283 Christian 34283 26129	IcCracken 46271 48534 49137 IcCracken 46271 48534 49137 Lyon 8530 9067 6853 Marshall 12889 16602 13387 21419 25669 20240 Calloway 17662 19041 20147 Caldwell 13781 14499 13199 Trigg 12531 12784 9683 26312 27283 22862 Christian 34283 26129 42359 Hopkins 37449 37789 38815

Legislative	Counties	P	opulati	om	
Districts	Counties	1930	1940	1950	1960
12	Union				14537
13	Molesan	11072	1146	10021	9353
Wu II	Webster	20534	19198	15555	14244
	C 2710, - 511	31606	30644	25576	23597
14	Henderson	26295	27020	30715	33 519
(15)	Daviess	43779	53335	57241	70588
(16) *15th I	Dist. comprises (City of Ov	rensbor	33651	42471
17	Muhlenberg	37794	37554	32501	27791
18	Hancock	6147	6807	6009	5330
	Ohio	24469	24421	20840	17725
	F 221 11 15	30616	31228	26849	23065
19	Todd	13520	14234	12890	11364
20	Logan	21975	23345	22335	20696
21	Allen	15180	15496	13787	12269
	Simpson	11336	11752	11678	11548
		26486	27248	25465	23817
22	Warren	33676	36631	42758	45491
23	Butler	12620	14371	11309	9586
	Edmonson	11475	11344	9376	8065
		24095	25715	20685	17671
24	Grayson	17055	17562	17063	15834
25	Hardin	20913	29108	50312	67789

Legislative			Population		
Districts	Counties	1930	1940	1950	1960
26	Breckinridge Meade		17744 9827	15528 9422	14734 18938
		25410	26571	24950	33672
27	Barren	25844	27569	28461	28308
28	Metoalf	9373	10653	9851	8367
	Monroe	13077	14070	13770	11799
		22450	24923	23621	20166
29	Adair	16401	18566	17603	14699
	Green		12321	11261	11249
		27802	30887	28864	25948
30	Marion	15499	16913	17212	16887
	Taylor		13556	14403	16285
		27906	30469	31615	33172
31	Hart	16169	17239	15321	14119
	Larue	21109	25640	25797	10346
		37278	42879	41118	24465
32	Anderson	8494	8936	8984	8618
	Nelson	16551	18004	19521	22166
		25045	26940	28505	30786
33	Bullitt	8868	9511	11349	15726
	Spencer	6606	6757	6157	5680
		15474	16268	17506	21406
34	Jefferson	355350	385392	484615	610947
35	Jefferson	355350	385392	484615	610947

The 36th and 30th districts he outside the City of Louisville with the discrition of a small section in such summed. The following districts, all in the County of Jefferson, he within the boundaries of the City of Louisville:

Lagislative	Population					
Districts	Counties		1940		1960	
36	Jefferson	355650	306502	484615	610947	
87	Jefferson			484615	610947	
36	Jefferson	855350	205002	404615	610947	
39	Jefferson	166880	-	494615	0165	
40	Jefferson	. HEESO		404015	610947	
41	Jefferson	355050	20000	494615	610947	
42	Jefferson		2000	404015	610947	
44	Jefferson	255550		484615 484615	610947 610947	
45	Moreor	14471	14030	14643	14596	
	Washington	19628	12965	12777	11168	
		27004	97504	27430	25764	
46	Boyle	16218	17070	20582	21257	
	Garrard		11910		9747	
				31561	81004	
47	Madieum	27621	28541	31179	33482	
48	Jessamine	12431	12174	12438	13625	
	Woodford	10961	11867	11212	11913	
		23412	24021	23670	25536	
49	Payette	69543	78689	100746	131906	
50	Payette					
51	Franklin	21064	23306	25983	29421	
52	Shelby	17679	17759	17912	18498	

Legislative			'opulat		
Destroite	Counties	1980	1960	1960	1960
88	Oldham	7400	10916	11016	13396
	Trimble	5848	5001	5146	5102
		19750	16817	16166	184P0
84	Boone				21940
	Gallstin	4457	4807	3000	3007
		14083	18127	10984	25807
55	Grunt	9876	9876	9809	9480
	Owen	10710	10942	9755	8237
		30586	30816	19664	17726
56	Harrison				13704
	Scott	14400	14314	15141	15376
		29259	29436	28877	29080
57	Carroll				7978
	Henry	12564	12220	11394	10987
		20719	20877	19911	18965
58	Kenton				
59	Kenton	98534	93139	104254	120700
80	Kenton	93534	96189	104254	120700
51	Kenton	93534	981.89	104254	120700
52	Campbell				
53	Campbell	73391	71918	76196	86803
84	Bracken	9616	9889	8424	. 422
	Pendleton	10876	10382	9610	9968
		20492	19771	18025	17390
55	Mason	18862	19066	18486	19454

Legislative	sentining of		opulati		
Districts	Counties	1980	1940	1960	1960
Contract of the	Floring	.12931	12037	11909	10000
(B) (4)	Robertson	. 3344	8419	2861	2443
	F1 (1887) 15	16275	16726	14843	18383
67	Bourbon				
	Niekolas	. 6571	9617	7532	6677
	rend 1-	99681	36549	25284	24855
68	Glark	.17640	17968	18898	21075
60	Menifee				
M	ontgomery	11660	12290	13025	13461
		16616	17971	17823	17737
70	Bath				
	Rowan	.1000	12734	12708	12908
	- 1	21968	94185	23118	21922
71	Lewis	.14315	15686	13520	13115
72	Greenup	.24554	24917	24897	29238
73	Carter	.23839	25545	22550	20617
34	Knett				
	Magoffin	.15719	17490	13839	11156
		80949	37497	34159	36414
75	Morgan	.15130	16827	13624	11056
	Wolfe	. 8425	9997	7615	6534
		23555	26824	21239	17590

Legislativ		opulation			
Districts	Counties		1940	1960	1960
76	Breathitt	21143	23946	19964	15490
	Lee			8739	7420
		30422	34806	26703	22910
77	Estill	17079	17978	14677	12466
	Powell			6812	5674
		22879	25649	21489	19140
78	Jackson	10467	16339	13101	10677
	Owaley			7324	5369
		17690	25296	20425	16046
79	Rockeastle	.15149	17165	13925	12334
80	Lincoln	.17687	19859	18668	16503
81 ,	Casey	.16747	19962	17446	14327
	Russell	.11930	13615	13717	11076
:		28677	33577	31163	25403
82	Clinton		10279	10605	8886
	Cumberland	.10204	11923	9309	7835
		19208	22202	19914	16721
33	McCreary	.14627		1666 0	12463
	Wayne	.15848	17204	16475	14700
		30475	3365 5	33135	27163
34	Pulaski	.35640	39863	38452	34403
96	Whitley	.29730	33186	31940	25815
36	Laurel				24901

Legislative		egislative Popu			
District	Counties	1980	1940	1950	1960
87	19901 Salar		81029	30409	25258
88	Bell	.38747	43812	47602	35336
90	Harlan	.64557			
90	Hadan	.64557	75275	71751	51107
91	Clay	18526	23901	23116	20748
4 [5]	Lealie	.10765	14981	15637	10941
		29291	36862	38653	31689
92	Letcher	.35702	40592	39522	3 0102
93	Perry	.42186	47828	46566	34961
94	Pike				
95	Pike	.63267	71122	81154	68264
96	Floyd	.41942	52966	53500	41642
97	Floyd	.41942	02986	53500	41062
98	Johnson				
	Martin	. 8584	10970	11677	10201
		31549	36741	35 523	29949
99	Elliott	. 7571	8713	7085	6330
-	Lawrence	.16713	17275	14418	12134
		24284	25988	21503	18464
100	Boyd	.43849	45938	49949	52163

"Number of districts; apportionment of representation.—The first general assembly, after the adoption of this Constitution shall divide the state into thirty-eight senatorial districts, and one hundred representative districts, as nearly equal in population as

may be without dividing any county, except where a county may include more than one district, which distriet shall constitute the constorial and representative districts for ten years. Not more then two counties shall be joined together to form a representative distriet: Provided, in doing so the principle requiring every district to be as nearly equal in population as may be shall not be violated. At the expiration of that time, the general assembly shall then, and every ten years thereafter, redistrict the state according to this rule, and for the purposes expressed in this section. If, in making said districts inequality of population should be unaveidable, any advantage resulting therefrom shall be given to districts having the largest territory. No part of a county shall be added to another county to make a district, and the counties forming a district shall be contiguous.

SENATORIAL DISTRICTS-KENTUCKY.

Senatorial	Population					
Districts	Counties 19	30	1940	1950	1960	
1	Fulton149	27	15413	13686	11256	
	Graves307	78	31763	31364	30021	
	Hickman 87	25	9142	7778	6747	
	Marshall128	89	16602	13287	16736	
	TOTALS673	19	72920	66197	64760	
2	Ballard 99	10	9480	8545	8291	
	Carlisle/73	-	7650	6206	5608	
	Livingston 86	08	9127	7184	7029	
	McCracken462		48534	49137	57306	
	721	52	74791	71072	78234	
3	Caldwell137	81	14499	13199	13073	
	Calloway 176	62	19041	20147	20972	
	Crittenden119		12115	10818	8648	
	Lyon 85	30	9067	6853	5924	
	Trigg125		12784	9683	8870	
	644	35	67506	60700	57487	

Senatorial	and the condition of	11	opulati		
Districts	Counties	1930		1950	1960
44 7000 800	Henderson	26296	27020	80715	33519
- writely	Union	17068	17411	14893	14537
The later of the	Webster	20584	19198	15555	14244
of house	figured) in the capi-			61163	62300
15	Logan	21875	23345	22335	20896
1	Inhlenberg	37784	37554	32501	27791
Teldstinge 1	Simpson	11336	11752	11678	11548
1 1 4	Simpson	13520	14234	12890	11364
control of a	And the first of		86885	79404	71599
6	Christian	34283	26129	42359	56904
-	Hopkins				38458
	Trada -	71732	63918	81174	95362
7	Allen	15180	15496	13787	12269
7	Edmonson	11475	11344	9376	8065
	Warren	33676	36631	42758	45491
10 0	100	60331	63471	65921	66345
8	Daviess	43779	53335	57241	70588
	Hancock	6147	6807	6009	5330
	McLean	11072	11446	10021	9353
	_	60996	71588	73271	85271
9	Adair	16401	18566	17603	14699
100	Barren		27559	28461	28303
	Hart		17239	15321	14119
	Metcalfe		10853	9851	8367
45		67787	74217	71236	65488

Senatoria		Population			
Districts	Counties 1	930	1940	1960	1960
10	Breckinridge17	368	17744	15528	14734
	Butler 12			11309	9586
	Grayson17	055	17562	17063	15834
	Ohio 24			20840	17725
	71	512	74099	64740	57879
11.7	Campbell73	391	71918	76196	96908
12	Bullitt 8	868	9511	11349	15727
	Hardin20	913	29108	50312	67789
	Larue21	109	25640	25797	10346
	Meade 8	042	8827	9422	18938
	Spencer 6	606	6757	6157	5680
	65	538	79843	103037	118480
13	Fayette68	543	78899	100746	131906
14	Green11	401	12321	11261	11249
	Marion15	499	16913	17212	16887
	Nelson16	551	18004	19521	22168
	Taylor12	407	13556	14403	16285
	Washington12		12965	12777	11168
	71	481	73759	75174	77757
15	McCreary14	627	16451	16660	12463
	Pulaski35		39863	38452	34403
	Whitley29	730	33186	31940	25815
	79	997	89500	87052	72681
16	Clinton 9	004	10279	10605	8886
	Cumberland10	204	11923	9309	7835
	Monroe13	077	14070	13770	11799
	Russell11	930	13615	13717	11076
	Wayne15	858	17204	16475	14700
	60	063	67091	63876	54296

Senatorial	Population				
Districts	Counties	1930	1940	1950	1960
17	Bell3	8747	49812	47602	35336
9 19	Knox 2		31029	30409	25258
120 10	11 11 11 11 11		7404	20044	
	il etc.	DOTE	74841	78011	60594
10 .	Boyle 1		17075	20532	21257
	Casey1		19962	17446	14327
25.00	Garrard1		11910	11029	9747
	Lincoln1	7687	19859	18668	16503
1	6	2214	59906	68075	61828
19	Clay1	8526	23901	23116	20748
	Jackson1		16339	13101	10677
	Laurel2		25640	25797	24901
	Ownley		8957	7824	5369
'	Rockeastle1		17165	13925	12334
	7	2474	92002	83263	74029
20	Anderson	8494	8936	8984	8618
†	Franklin 2			25933	29421
*	Scott1		14314	15141	15376
	Shelby1			17912	18493
	6	1637	64317	67970	71908
21	Harlan6	4557	75275	71751	51107
-	Leslie1		14991	15537	10941
	7	5322	90256	87268	62048
22	Jessamine1	2431	12174	12458	13625
111 - 2	Madison2		28541	31179	33482
	Mercer1		14829	14643	14596
	Woodford1		11847	11212	11913
					11010
	6	5504	67191	69492	73616

Senatorial		P	opulati	on	7 1		
Districts	Counties	1930	1940	1950	1960		
28	Letcher	35702	40592	39522	30102		
	Perry			46566	34961		
	7	77880	88420	86088	65063		
24	Kenton	93534	93139	104254	120700		
25	Boyd	43849	45988		52163		
	· Johnson	22965	25771	23846	19748		
	Lawrence		17275	14418	12134		
		83527	88983	85213	84045		
26	Boone	9565	10820	13015	21940		
20	Carroll		8657	8517	7978		
	Gallatin	4437	4307	3969	3867		
	Henry		12220	11394	10987		
	Oldham		10716	11018	13388		
	Owen		10942	9755	8237		
	Trimble		5601	5148	5102		
		58181	63263	62816	71499		
27	Bath	11075	11451	10410	9114		
	Fleming		13327	11962	10890		
	Mason	18862	19066	18486	19454		
	Menifee		5691	4798	4276		
	Rowan		12734	12708	12808		
	Wolfe		9997	7615	6534		
	-	67144	72266	65979	63076		
28	Bourbon	.18060	17932	17752			
	Clark	.17640	17988	18898			
	Estill	.17079	17978	14677			
	Montgomery			1302	1346		
	Powell	. 5800	7671	6812	2 6674		
		70239	73749	7116	7185		

Senatorial		Population			
Districts Counties	1930	1940	1950	. 196	
29 Floyd	41942	52966	53500	41645	
the said Knott	15230	20003	20320	25256	
Martin	8584	10970	11677	10201	
The state of the s		83959	85497	77101	
OF THE PARTY OF TH	Mary Control	Gert et al.	7		
	9616			-	
	9876			9489	
	14859			13704	
Nicholas				6677	
	10876			9968	
Hobertson	3344	3419	2861	2443	
	57182	56807	51992	49703	
31 Pike	63267	71122	81854	68264	
32 Carter	23839	25545	22559	20617	
	7571		7085	6330	
	24554		24887		
	14315		13520	13115	
	70279	74861	69061	69500	
Jefferson.	355350	385892	484615	610947	
4 Jefferson	355350	805000	404615	G16947	
5 Jefferson.	355350	395392			
	355350				
	355350				
B Jefferson	355350	385392	484615	610947	
6 Jefferson	ville, w		eption o		

Senatorial	Population						
Districts	Counties	1930	1940	1950	1960		
	The 33, 35, 37 and 38 Senatial Districts are all within						
		Population 390639. County outside Louisville with annexed area, which comprises 36th District above, e					
	. 1						
	1	imated	popula	tion 300	0000.		
34	Breathitt	21143	23946	19964	15490		
	Lee	9279	10860	8739	7420		
	Magoffin	15719	17490	13839	11156		
	Morgan	15130	16827	13624	11056		
	-	61271	69123	56165	45122		